2016 LGLA Leadership Forum



mbudsperson

Open Meetings: Best Practices for Local Governments

Linda Blackman Manager, Health and Local Services Team

Charisse Giarraputo
Ombudsperson Officer, Health and Local
Services Team

Outline



- Overview of the Office of the Ombudsperson
- Open & transparent local government
- Legislative framework
- What is a meeting?
- Electronic meetings
- Complying with the open meeting rules (best practices)
 - Notice
 - Closing a meeting
 - Conducting closed meetings
 - After a meeting
- Ombudsperson investigations

Office of the Ombudsperson



- established in 1979
- oversight to ensure fair and reasonable treatment by public authorities
- approximately 7,800 inquiries and complaints per year
- jurisdiction over local governments since 1995
- one of seven provincial Ombudsperson offices with jurisdiction over local



Local Government – Recurring Issues Common local government complaints Adequacy of Information 41% ■ Bylaw Enforcement 24% ■ Transparency of Decision Making 13% ■ Public Consultation 12% Fees & Fines 7% Other 3% Providing oversight of all B.C. municipalities, regional districts and islands trust since 1995.

Open & Transparent Local Government



- essential element of healthy democracy
- $\hfill \square$ increasing public expectations re: transparency
- □ enhances public confidence, trust and the local government's credibility
- provides opportunity & incentive for increased public participation
- reduces complaints

"The Ombudsperson serves the people of British Columbia by ... overseeing the administrative actions of provincial authorities in order to enhance openness, ransparency and

accountability."

Open Meetings - Legislative Framework



Community Charter (2003)

- presumption that meetings will be open to the public sec. 89(1)
- statutory provisions limit closed meetings:
 - limit circumstances under which a meeting can be closed
 - procedures to close a meeting
- applies to elected councils, advisory bodies, boards of variance





Case Summary



Letting the public in

A citizen complained that the local government declared all regular meetings closed to the public until further notice.



What is a Meeting?



Consider the following 2 primary factors to determine if it is a **meeting**:

- Nature of the Group: presence of a quorum, does the group have decision-making authority, or are they studying an issue/recommending action?
- Nature of the Discussion: is it about a matter within the government's jurisdiction that if discussed in private deprives the public of the opportunity to observe a material part of the decision-making process

Indicators of a Meeting



- □ presence of a quorum
- voting
- deal with matters in a way that moves them materially toward a decision
- timing of the meeting
- □ formality of procedure followed





Case Summary



The lines are now open

A citizen didn't understand why a council meeting was closed to the public. The meeting was a special council meeting held by telephone.



Best Practice: Electronic Meetings



Community Charter sec. 128

- Electronic Meeting Regulation Regional Districts & Islands Trust
- same factors apply whether the meeting is in person, or through electronic means (email, instant messaging, conference call, video meeting etc.)
- procedure bylaw may authorize electronic meetings



Best Practice: Electronic Meetings



Limitations

- procedure bylaw in place
- participants are able to hear or watch & hear
- $\hfill\Box$ \hfill public is able to hear or watch & hear
- notice of meeting includes a description of the electronic means & where the public may attend to hear the parts of the meeting that are open





Best Practice: Public Notice



Community Charter sec. 127

- minimum 24 hours notice of special meeting date, time & place exception: urgent meetings sec. 127(4)
- post at regular meeting place & other places as per procedures by law (e.g., web site)
- provide general information concerning the matters to be discussed
 8 the authorizing paragraph of sec. 90 of the Charter
- $\hfill \Box$ closed meeting in advance of vs. following a regular meeting

Best Practice: Deciding to Close a Meeting



Meetings should be open except

- closure is authorized and necessary or.
- closure is mandatory under section 90(2) of the Community Charter

Sometimes multiple criteria must be satisfied before discretion to close a meeting can be exercised.





Best Practice: Reasons to Close a Meeting



Community Charter sec. 90 (1)

 15 circumstances in which council may exercise discretion to close a meeting

Examples:

- □ provision of municipal service
- □ land acquisition/disposition/expropriation
- \dots if disclosure could harm interests of local government
- litigation
- □ confidential human resources matters

		easons to Close a .		



Community Charter sec. 90 (2) lists five circumstances a meeting must be closed.

For example, if the subject matter being considered relates to:

- > A request under FIPPA
- > Negotiations with Federal or Provincial government
- Ombudsperson investigation



Best Practice: Resolution to Close a Meeting



Before closing a meeting, council must pass a resolution in a public meeting

The resolution should include three things:

- > that a meeting or part of a meeting is to be closed
- a brief description of the basis on which the meeting is to be closed
 the specific paragraphs in section 90 that require or authorize the closure



Best Practice: During Closed Meetings



- □ restrict discussion to subjects that were authorized by the resolution
- take detailed minutes and ensure the names of all persons in attendance are recorded
- voting on the reading or adoption of a bylaw **not** permissible
- can vote or pass resolutions on other matters disclose outcome at open meeting with as much detail as possible

Case Summary



Shedding light on closed meeting provisions

A citizen complained that a building bylaw was rescinded in a closed meeting.



Best Practice: After the Closed Meeting



- □ establish a process to periodically review information generated at closed meetings
- □ release information if doing so would not undermine the reason for closing the meeting



Ombudsperson Investigations



What We Look At:

- □ Was the person treated in a fair and reasonable manner?
- □ Were the rules, policies and the law followed?
- □ Were the principles of administrative fairness followed (notice, relevant information considered, reasons?)
- $\hfill\Box$ Are the rules and polices themselves fair and reasonable?

Ombudsperson Investigations



Decide whether or not to investigate the complaint Investigation Steps:

- Notify the local government of our investigation
- o Request information from the local government
- Assess all of the information provided
- $_{\circ}\,$ If appropriate, consult with the local government on a fair resolution to the complaint
- o Notify the complainant and local government in writing of the outcome of the investigation

Ombudsperson Investigations



Examples of resolutions

- Releasing the minutes of closed meetings
- reimbursing fines, fees or penalties

 providing reasons for decisions
- apologizing
- changing or developing a policy
- amending a bylaw
- re-do a hearing process





Best practices: Bylaw Enforcement



- □ Coming March, 2016
- □ Describes role of council and local government staff
 - A-Z: From drafting bylaws to enforcement and ultimately to appeals
- □ Practical checklists



